

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

*In re: Hyundai and Kia Engine
Litigation*

8:17-cv-00838-JLS-JDE

Related Cases:

8:17-cv-01365-JLS-JDE

8:17-cv-02208-JLS-JDE

2:18-cv-05255-JLS-JDE

8:18-cv-00622-JLS-JDE

8:18-cv-02223-JLS-JDE

**DECLARATION OF MATTHEW D.
SCHELKOPF IN SUPPORT OF
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF
THE CLASS ACTION
SETTLEMENT**

1 I, Matthew D. Schelkopf, under penalty of perjury, declare as follows:

2 1. I am a partner at the law firm of Sauder Schelkopf LLC in Berwyn,
3 Pennsylvania. I respectfully submit this Declaration in support of Plaintiffs' Motion for
4 Preliminary Approval of the Class Action Settlement. My declaration is based on my
5 personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein, and, if called to so, could and would
6 testify competently thereto.

7 2. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 1** is a true and correct copy of the executed
8 Settlement Agreement, and respective exhibits, entered and agreed to by Plaintiffs and
9 Defendants on October 10, 2019.

10 a. Attached to the Settlement Agreement as **Exhibit A** is a true and correct
11 copy of the proposed Long Form Notice.

12 b. Attached to the Settlement Agreement as **Exhibit B** is a true and correct
13 copy of the proposed Claim Form.

14 c. Attached to the Settlement Agreement as **Exhibit C** is a true and correct
15 copy of the Pamphlet.

16 d. Attached to the Settlement Agreement as **Exhibit D** is a true and correct
17 copy of the proposed order granting preliminary approval of the class
18 action settlement.

19 3. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 2** is the firm resume of my law firm, Sauder
20 Schelkopf LLC.

21 4. I am admitted to practice before the Supreme Courts of Pennsylvania and
22 New Jersey, the Third Circuit Court of Appeals, the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and
23 this Court. I am in good standing in every court before which I am admitted to practice,
24 and have never been subject to any disciplinary proceedings in any court.

25 5. My practice is devoted to litigation with a focus on prosecuting class actions
26 involving automotive defects, consumer protection, other defective products and false
27 advertising.
28

1 6. Prior to joining Sauder Schelkopf, I practiced at the class action law firm of
2 McCune Wright Arevalo LLP. Prior to joining McCune Wright Arevalo, I practiced at
3 the suburban Philadelphia class action law firm of Chimicles & Tikellis. From 2002 to
4 2004, I was a prosecutor in the District Attorney's Office of York County, where I
5 litigated 27 jury trials and over 50 bench trials.

6 7. I received my Bachelor of Arts degree from Pennsylvania State University in
7 1999. I graduated from Widener University School of Law in 2002, where I was a
8 member of the *Moot Court Honor Society* and *Trial Advocacy Honor Society* and was
9 one of five students inducted into the National Order of Barristers in 2002. I received my
10 LL.M in taxation from Villanova University School of Law in 2008.

11 8. In 2012, American Lawyer Media, publisher of *The Legal Intelligencer* and
12 the *Pennsylvania Law Weekly*, named me as one of the "Lawyers on the Fast Track," a
13 distinction that recognized 35 Pennsylvania attorneys under the age of 40 who show
14 outstanding promise in the legal profession and make significant contributions to his or her
15 community.

16 9. In 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015 and 2016 Law & Politics and the
17 publishers of Philadelphia Magazine named me a Pennsylvania Super Lawyer *Rising Star*.
18 *Rising Stars* are the top 2.5 percent of attorneys in Pennsylvania, as chosen by their peers
19 and through the independent research of Law & Politics. I was recognized as a Super
20 Lawyer in 2017 and 2018.

21 10. In 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015 and 2016 the National Trial Lawyers Association
22 named me one of the Top 40 trial lawyers under the age of 40 in Pennsylvania.

23 11. In addition to the experience listed in the firm resume, I have extensive
24 experience prosecuting consumer class action cases against automotive companies,
25 including:

- 26 a. Appointed as Class Counsel by Judge Joseph H. Rodriguez in *Salcedo*
27 *v. Subaru of America, Inc.*, 1:17-cv-08173 (D.N.J.) on behalf of owners
28 and lessees of MY 2012-2017 Subaru WRX and WRX STi vehicles
with alleged connecting rod bearing defect that resulted in engine

1 failure. Final approval was granted to a nationwide class action
2 settlement on June 5, 2019.

- 3 b. Appointed as Class Counsel by Judge Madeline Cox Arleo in *Bang v.*
4 *BMW of North America, LLC*, No.: 2:15-cv-6945 (D.N.J.) in a case
5 involving certain BMW vehicles containing a defect resulting in
6 excessive oil consumption. Final approval was granted to a nationwide
7 class action settlement on September 11, 2018.
- 8 c. Appointed Class Counsel in *Davitt v. Honda North America, Inc.*, No.
9 2:13-cv-00381-MCA-JBC (D.N.J.), a class action lawsuit on behalf of
10 current and former owners and lessees of certain Honda CR-V vehicles
11 containing alleged defective door lock actuators. Honorable Madeline
12 Arleo granted final approval of the settlement on May 8, 2015.
- 13 d. Appointed Class Counsel in *Henderson v. Volvo Cars of North America,*
14 *LLC*, No. 2:09-cv-04146-CCC-JAD (D.N.J), a class action lawsuit on
15 behalf of current and former owners and lessees of Volvo vehicles
16 related to an alleged transmission defect in model years (“MY”) 2003-
17 2005 XC90 Turbo 6-cylinder SUVs. Final approval was granted to a
18 settlement that conferred a substantial benefit on over 90,000 class
19 members.
- 20 e. Appointed as Interim Co-Lead Interim Class Counsel by Judge George
21 Caram Steeh in *Tolmasoff v. General Motors, LLC*, No.: 2:16-cv-11747
22 (E.D. Mich.) in a case involving a claim of overstated miles-per-gallon
23 in GM vehicles.
- 24 f. Appointed Class Counsel in *Lax v. Toyota Motor Corporation*, No. 14-
25 cv-01490-WHO (N.D. Cal.), a class action on behalf of current and
26 former owners and lessees of Toyota vehicles related to an alleged
27 design defect regarding the vehicles’ piston rings causing excessive
28 engine oil consumption in over one million Toyota vehicles.
- g. Appointed as Co-Class Counsel in *Mendoza v. Hyundai Motor Co.*, No.
5:15-cv-01685 (N.D. Cal.) related to premature engine failure in certain
Hyundai vehicles. Judge Freeman granted preliminary approval to the
settlement on April 14, 2015.

1 h. Appointed as Co-Class Counsel *Whalen v. Ford Motor Company*, No.
2 3:13-cv-03072-EMC (N.D. Cal.), in a class action lawsuit on behalf of
3 current and former owners and lessees of Ford and Lincoln vehicles
4 related to an alleged design defect in which the Sync®, MyFordTouch®
and MyLincolnTouch® systems fail to operate as designed.

5 12. My firm, along with the law firms of The Sultz Law Group and Walsh
6 PLLC, filed the first and initiating case related to the alleged defect.

7 13. Prior to filing the initiating Complaint, our firms investigated the potential
8 defect alleged in this Action for over three months prior. This investigation included
9 interviewing potential members of the class, reviewing documents provided by class
10 members, reviewing the design of the engines at issue in conjunction with automotive
11 experts, and investigating the potential legal claims applicable to the class.

12 14. After filing, our firms met and conferred with counsel for Defendants on
13 multiple occasions regarding the Plaintiffs' allegations, Defendants' potential defenses,
14 and the possibility of early resolution.

15 15. I also personally took the deposition of two Hyundai Motor Co., Ltd.
16 employees, both of whom traveled from South Korea to Los Angeles for their deposition.
17 With the assistance of an experience translator, the depositions lasted two days and
18 covered hundred pages of documents produced by Defendants during confirmatory
19 discovery.

20 16. Based on my substantial experience litigating consumer class actions, I
21 believe that the proposed settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate, and in the best
22 interests of the Settlement Class Members.

23
24 I declare under penalty of perjury pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746 that the foregoing
25 is true and correct.

26
27 Dated: October 10, 2019

/s/ Matthew D. Schelkopf
Matthew D. Schelkopf